



News

SB 866 Creates Significant Change on Union Dues

June 2018



The United States Supreme Court is expected to issue its decision on the legality of mandatory agency/service fees for non-union members in public employment next week. This has prompted numerous questions regarding how public agency employers are to respond. In anticipation of the decision in *Janus v. AFSCME*, the California legislature has fast-tracked statutory revisions to current law through budget trailer bill SB 866, in an effort to address the decision's impact on public employee unions. F3 Law recently partnered with the Association of California School Administrators (ACSA) and our legal alliance partner firms to address the questions we are receiving regarding how agencies can/should/must respond. You can access this FAQ [HERE](#).

The FAQ is intended only as a general summary of some of the legal issues presented. If you have any specific questions on how to respond post-*Janus*, please contact one of our six offices.

Related Services

-
- Employment Law



Professionals



Elizabeth B. "Lisa" Mori

Partner

Oakland

510.550.8222

emori@f3law.com