

OCTOBER 2018 NO. 18-10

The Janus Decision and SB 866: New Guidance from ACSA and CTA

In June 2018, the United States Supreme Court issued its long anticipated decision in Janus v. AFSCME. On the same day, Governor Jerry Brown signed Senate Bill 866. Together, this case and legislation significantly impacted the rules related to public sector agency fees and unions dues. At the time, F3 Law partnered with the Association of California School Administrators (ACSA) and our legal alliance partner firms to address the questions we were receiving regarding how employers can/should/must respond. That FAQ can be accessed here.

There continues to be no shortage of questions and conflicting information being circulated about these new legal requirements, including in relation to post-Janus Public Records Act requests for employee contact information. In an effort to address these issues, F3 Law recently partnered with ACSA and the California Teachers Association to issue new, joint guidance. You can access this guidance here.

The FAQ is intended only as a general summary of some of the legal issues presented. If you have any specific questions on the various issues presented by Janus and SB 866, please contact one of our six offices.

F3 NewsFlash® Written by:

Jordan Bilbeisi, partner

FRESNO | INLAND EMPIRE | LOS ANGELES | OAKLAND | SACRAMENTO | SAN DIEGO www.f3law.com

This F3 NewsFlash® is a summary only and not legal advice. We recommend that you consult with legal counsel to determine how this legal development may apply to your specific facts and circumstances.

© 2018 Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost LLP All rights reserved, except that the Managing Partner of Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost LLP hereby grants permission to any client of Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost LLP to use, reproduce and distribute this NewsFlash intact and solely for the internal, noncommercial purposes of such client.